



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,849	01/20/2004	Kuldeep Jain	871.0119.U1(US)	3072
10/948                      7590                      12/06/2010 Harrington & SMith, Attorneys At Law, LLC 4 Research Drive, Suite 202 Shelton, CT 06484				
EXAMINER				
PATEL, DHAIRYA A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2451				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/06/2010		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/761,849  <b>Examiner</b> Dhairya A. Patel	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JAIN ET AL.  <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="padding: 2px 5px;">Art Unit</th> <th style="width: 20%;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px 5px;">2451</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit		2451	
Art Unit						
2451						

**All Participants:**  
 (1) Dhairya A. Patel.  
 (2) John Garrity (Reg. 60,470).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_  
 (3) \_\_\_\_  
 (4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1 December 2010      **Time:** 10:15am

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*22, 32-40*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Follansbec/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted the attorney Garrity in regards with supplemental examiner's amendment. Examiner notified attorney Garrity that an examiner's amendment mailed on 9/29/2010 has a typographical error wherein dependent claims 33,34,36,38,39,40 had been dependent upon claim 32 which was cancelled and incorporated in claim independent claim 25. Therefore dependency of claims 33,34,36,38,39,40 needed to be changed to claim 25. Examiner notified attorney Garrity that these changes will be made in the supplemental examiner's amendment. .